



THE UNIVERSITY *of* EDINBURGH

Edinburgh Research Explorer

Protective immunity to *Schistosoma haematobium* infection is primarily an anti-fecundity response stimulated by the death of adult worms

Citation for published version:

Mitchell, KM, Mutapi, F, Savill, NJ & Woolhouse, MEJ 2012, 'Protective immunity to *Schistosoma haematobium* infection is primarily an anti-fecundity response stimulated by the death of adult worms' Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, vol 109, no. 33, pp. 13347–13352. DOI: 10.1073/pnas.1121051109

Digital Object Identifier (DOI):

[10.1073/pnas.1121051109](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1121051109)

Link:

[Link to publication record in Edinburgh Research Explorer](#)

Document Version:

Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

Published In:

Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences

Publisher Rights Statement:

Freely available online through the PNAS open access option.

General rights

Copyright for the publications made accessible via the Edinburgh Research Explorer is retained by the author(s) and / or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing these publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

Take down policy

The University of Edinburgh has made every reasonable effort to ensure that Edinburgh Research Explorer content complies with UK legislation. If you believe that the public display of this file breaches copyright please contact openaccess@ed.ac.uk providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.



PNAS PNAS PNAS

Centre for Immunity, Infection and Evolution, Institute of Immunology and Infection Research, School of Biological Sciences, University of Edinburgh, West Mains Road, Edinburgh EH9 3JT, United Kingdom

Protective immunity against human schistosome infection develops slowly, for reasons that are not yet fully understood. For many decades, researchers have attempted to infer properties of the immune response from epidemiological studies, with mathematical models frequently being used to bridge the gap between immunological theory and population-level data on schistosome infection and immune responses. Here, building upon earlier model findings, stochastic individual-based models were used to identify model structures consistent with observed field patterns of *Schistosoma haematobium* infection and antibody responses, including their distributions in cross-sectional surveys, and the observed treatment-induced antibody switch. We found that the observed patterns of infection and antibody were most consistent with models in which a long-lived protective antibody response is stimulated by the death of adult *S. haematobium* worms and reduces worm fecundity. These findings are discussed with regard to current understanding of human immune responses to schistosome infection.

Schistosoma haematobium parasites infect more than 100 million people in sub-Saharan Africa and are responsible for a heavy burden of disease (1, 2). Protective immunity against schistosomes takes a long time to develop; the precise nature of the protective immune response and the reasons for its slow development are not fully understood, although several immune responses, antibodies in particular, have been associated with protection (3). Two hypotheses for the slow development of anti-*S. haematobium* immunity have been put forward: firstly, that dying worms are the main source of protective antigen, with exposure to dying worms delayed by long parasite life spans (4); secondly, that exposure to a certain threshold level of antigen is required before a protective response is stimulated (5).

could be reproduced (16). These previous models did not take into account heterogeneities in exposure to infection or look at the distribution of infection or antibody responses across populations nor the impact of treatment on the immune response.

Schistosomes are highly aggregated among their human hosts, such that many individuals harbor few or no schistosome worms, while a few carry heavy parasite loads (17). Previous modeling work suggests that this distribution arises from aggregation between individuals in their rates of infection (related to water exposure) (9), which observational studies confirm is highly heterogeneous (18). Aggregated worm burdens may also result from aggregation in the number of worms acquired per contact (10, 19). Levels of *S. haematobium*-specific antibody are also highly aggregated. Isotypes that demonstrate an age-related switch have a dichotomous relationship, with individuals rarely producing high levels of both isotypes (13, 20). As well as occurring naturally with age, this antibody switch is observed in younger children following praziquantel treatment (20, 21).

Here, we extend our earlier POM analysis (16), incorporating heterogeneous exposure into the successful model structures using stochastic individual-based models, and testing whether these models can also reproduce the distributions of *S. haematobium* infection and antibody seen in the field and the post-treatment antibody switch. We find that only a very limited set of models are capable of reproducing the field data, providing novel insights into the immunological processes that lead to these observed patterns.

Baseline Analysis: Cross-Sectional Criteria. The initial analysis used the baseline parameter values to assess whether each model could meet all of the “cross-sectional” criteria listed in Table 1. Only three of the different model structures tested were ever able to meet all of these criteria over a twofold change in population contact rate (Table 2). These models all included an antigen threshold and all had the nonprotective response stimulated by egg antigens, with the protective antibody response stimulated by antigen from cercariae, live worms or dying worms. In all three models the protective response reduced worm fecundity.

One of the cross-regulation models was able to meet all of the cross-sectional criteria for 12 individual parameter sets, although

Author contributions: K.M.M., F.M., and M.E.J.W. designed research; N.J.S. developed the computer code; K.M.M. performed research; K.M.M. analyzed data; and K.M.M., F.M., N.J.S., and M.E.J.W. wrote the paper.

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

This article is a PNAS Direct Submission.

Freely available online through the PNAS open access option.

¹Present address: Department of Global Health and Development, Faculty of Public Health and Policy, London School of Hygiene and Tropical Medicine, London WC1H 9SH, United Kingdom.

²To whom correspondence should be addressed. E-mail: Kate.Mitchell@lshrm.ac.uk.

This article contains supporting information online at www.pnas.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1073/pnas.1121051109/-/DCSupplemental.

Table 1. Criteria used to determine whether models replicated age-related and distributional patterns of infection and antibody seen in cross-sectional and post-treatment field data

Pattern identified in field data	Criterion applied to model output	Source
<i>Cross-sectional</i>		
Prevalence of infection by urine test	Prevalence of egg positives 5–80% for 6–14 year olds and for 15–34 year olds	Refs. 14, 44
Peaked age intensity curve	Maximum level of infection occurs between age of 6–23 years old	Refs. 7, 14, 16, 44
Reduced infection level in adults	Infection level in 24–34 year old age group <40% of peak age group	Refs. 7, 14, 16, 44
Peak shift	Peak infection intensity is lower and occurs in the same or older age group when infection rate is halved	Ref. 12
Aggregated egg output	Standardized variance (SV) of mean egg output 2–60 for whole population, for 6–14 year olds and for 15–34 year olds	Refs. 14, 44
Antibody switch	Spearman's ρ for association between two antibody responses < – 0.2	Refs. 13, 14, 20
Antibody switch after age of infection peak	Initial (nonprotective) antibody response first falls below its midpoint value in the same or a later age group than peak infection	Refs. 13, 14
<i>Post-treatment</i>		
Antibody switch after treatment	A_2 is $\geq 200\%$ and A_1 is $\leq 50\%$ of respective pre-treatment levels at both 18 and 36 wk post-treatment	Ref. 20

not over a twofold change in population contact rate (Table 2). In this model the protective antibody response was stimulated by antigen from dying worms and reduced worm fecundity, and the nonprotective antibody response was stimulated by egg stage antigens.

Importance of Different Criteria. The relative importance of the different criteria in excluding parameter combinations was assessed for the baseline analysis. The number of individual simulations passing each criterion, and passing each pair of criteria, was counted. For both cross-regulation and threshold models, the criteria least likely to be passed were the antibody switch (failed by 85% and 70% of simulations, respectively) and *S. haematobium* infection prevalence in both 6–14- and 15–34-year-olds (at least one of these prevalence criteria was failed by 86% and 90% of simulations for the cross-regulation and threshold models, respectively). Simulations that gave reduced infection levels in adults were more likely to pass the prevalence criteria, and those passing the prevalence criteria were in general more likely to pass the aggregation and antibody switch criteria. A number of trade offs were seen between different criteria; most notably, simulations passing the peak age criterion were less likely to pass the criterion for prevalence in 6–14-year-olds (this pair of criteria

was failed by 97% of all simulations). The majority of simulations failed on multiple criteria, with only 5% failing on a single criterion alone. All of the criteria were found to be discriminatory.

Inclusion of Treatment. The models that were able to meet all of the criteria above a twofold change in population contact rate were further analyzed for their ability to reproduce the observed antibody switch after treatment of children aged 6–15 years old. A range of different treatment efficacies and post-treatment levels of transmission reduction were investigated (see [SI Text for details](#)). Only the model with dying worm antigens stimulating the protective antibody response was able to reproduce the post-treatment antibody switch (Table 2) for a subset of the parameters that reproduced all of the cross-sectional data patterns. The number of parameter sets reproducing the post-treatment antibody switch was robust to the level of treatment efficacy and transmission reduction over the ranges explored. The cross-regulation model that was able to meet all of the cross-sectional criteria for individual parameter sets was also able to reproduce the antibody switch after treatment.

Sensitivity Analysis. Sensitivity analysis was carried out on the two model structures which were able to reproduce the antibody

Table 2. Relative success of different model structures in meeting criteria

Immune mechanism	Life cycle stage			No. of parameter sets passing following criteria:	
	Antigen for A_2	Targeted by A_2	Antigen for A_1	Cross-sectional	Cross-sectional and post-treatment
Cross-regulation	Cercariae	Cercariae	Live worms	0	0
	Cercariae	Cercariae	Dying worms	0	0
	Cercariae	Cercariae	Eggs	0	0
	Live worms	Eggs	Cercariae	0	0
	Live worms	Eggs	Live worms	0	0
	Live worms	Eggs	Dying worms	0	0
	Live worms	Eggs	Eggs	0	0
	Dying worms	Cercariae	Live worms	0	0
	Dying worms	Cercariae	Eggs	0	0
	Dying worms	Eggs	Cercariae	0	0
	Dying worms	Eggs	Live worms	0	0
	Dying worms	Eggs	Dying worms	0	0
Antigen threshold	Dying worms	Eggs	Eggs	0*	0
	Cercariae	Cercariae	Live worms	0	0
	Cercariae	Cercariae	Dying worms	0	0
	Cercariae	Cercariae	Eggs	0	0
	Cercariae	Eggs	Eggs	22	0
	Live worms	Eggs	Eggs	254	0
	Dying worms	Eggs	Eggs	48	32
	Eggs	Eggs	Eggs	0	0

*For this model, 12 individual parameter sets were able to meet all of the criteria, but none of these could meet the criteria over a twofold change in population infection rate.

1. World Health Organization (2010) *First WHO Report on Neglected Tropical Diseases: Working to Overcome the Global Impact of Neglected Tropical Diseases* (WHO, Geneva).
2. van der Werf MJ, et al. (2003) Quantification of clinical morbidity associated with schistosome infection in sub-Saharan Africa. *Acta Trop* 86:125–139.
3. Capron A, Capron M, Riveau G (2002) Vaccine development against schistosomiasis from concepts to clinical trials. *Br Med Bull* 62:139–148.
4. Woolhouse MEJ, Hagan P (1999) Seeking the ghost of worms past. *Nat Med* 5:1225–1227.
5. Mutapi F, et al. (2008) Age-related and infection intensity-related shifts in antibody recognition of defined protein antigens in a schistosome-exposed population. *J Infect Dis* 198:167–175.
6. Fisher AC (1934) A study of the schistosomiasis of the Stanleyville district of the Belgian Congo. *Trans R Soc Trop Med Hyg* 28:277–306.
7. Clarke VD (1966) The influence of acquired resistance in the epidemiology of bilharziasis. *Cent Afr J Med* 12:1–30.
8. Hellriegel B (2001) Immunoepidemiology—bridging the gap between immunology and epidemiology. *Trends Parasitol* 17:102–106.
9. Chan MS, Isham VS (1998) A stochastic model of schistosomiasis immuno-epidemiology. *Math Biosci* 151:179–198.
10. Duerr HP, Dietz K, Eichner M (2003) On the interpretation of age-intensity profiles and dispersion patterns. *Parasitology* 126:87–101.
11. Galvani AP (2003) Immunity, antigenic heterogeneity, and aggregation of helminth parasites. *J Parasitol* 89:232–241.
12. Woolhouse MEJ (1998) Patterns in parasite epidemiology: The peak shift. *Parasitol Today* 14:428–434.
13. Ndhlovu P, et al. (1996) Age-related antibody profiles in *Schistosoma haematobium* infections in a rural community in Zimbabwe. *Parasite Immunol* 18:181–191.
14. Mutapi F, Ndhlovu PD, Hagan P, Woolhouse MEJ (1997) A comparison of humoral responses to *Schistosoma haematobium* in areas with low and high levels of infection. *Parasite Immunol* 19:255–263.
15. Grimm V, et al. (2005) Pattern-oriented modeling of agent-based complex systems: Lessons from ecology. *Science* 310:987–991.
16. Mitchell KM, Mutapi F, Savill NJ, Woolhouse MEJ (2011) Explaining observed infection and antibody age-profiles in populations with urogenital schistosomiasis. *PLoS Comput Biol* 7:e1002237.
17. Guyatt HL, et al. (1994) Aggregation in schistosomiasis prevalence and intensity in different endemic areas. *Parasitology* 109:45–55.
18. Etard JF, Audibert M, Dabo A (1995) Age-acquired resistance and predisposition to reinfection with *Schistosoma haematobium* after treatment with praziquantel in Mali. *Am J Trop Med Hyg* 52:549–558.
19. Quinnell RJ, Grafen A, Woolhouse MEJ (1995) Changes in parasite aggregation with age: A discrete infection model. *Parasitology* 111:635–644.
20. Mutapi F, et al. (1998) Chemotherapy accelerates the development of acquired immune responses to *Schistosoma haematobium* infection. *J Infect Dis* 178:289–293.
21. Grogan JL, et al. (1996) Antischistosome IgG4 and IgE responses are affected differentially by chemotherapy in children versus adults. *J Infect Dis* 173:1242–1247.
22. Woolhouse MEJ, Etard JF, Dietz K, Ndhlovu PD, Chandiwana SK (1998) Heterogeneities in schistosome transmission dynamics and control. *Parasitology* 117:475–482.
23. Sabah AA, Fletcher C, Webbe G, Doenhoff M (1986) *Schistosoma mansoni*: Chemotherapy of infections of different ages. *Exp Parasitol* 61:294–303.
24. Gomes YM, et al. (2002) Antibody isotype responses to egg antigens in human chronic schistosomiasis *mansoni* before and after treatment. *Mem Inst Oswaldo Cruz* 97:111–112.
25. Agnew A, et al. (1996) Age-dependent reduction of schistosome fecundity in *Schistosoma haematobium* but not *Schistosoma mansoni* infections in humans. *Am J Trop Med Hyg* 55:338–343.
26. Agnew AM, et al. (1992) The susceptibility of adult schistosomes to immune attrition. *Mem Inst Oswaldo Cruz* 87:87–93.
27. Mutapi F, et al. (2005) Praziquantel treatment of individuals exposed to *Schistosoma haematobium* enhances serological recognition of defined parasite antigens. *J Infect Dis* 192:1108–1118.
28. Cao J, Liu S (1998) Immunization of mice with native tropomyosins from *Schistosoma japonicum* and *Oncomelania hupensis*. *Chin J Parasitol Parasit Dis* 16:401–405.
29. Zhang DM, et al. (2006) Investigation of recombinant *Schistosoma japonicum* paramyosin fragments for immunogenicity and vaccine efficacy in mice. *Parasite Immunol* 28:77–84.
30. Taylor MG, et al. (1998) Production and testing of *Schistosoma japonicum* candidate vaccine antigens in the natural ovine host. *Vaccine* 16:1290–1298.
31. Xu XD, et al. (2009) A *Schistosoma japonicum* chimeric protein with a novel adjuvant induced a polarized Th1 immune response and protection against liver egg burdens. *BMC Infect Dis* 9:54.
32. Miao Y-X, Liu S-X, McManus DP (1998) Isolation of native, biochemically purified triosephosphate isomerase from a Chinese strain of *Schistosoma japonicum* and its protective efficacy in mice. *Parasitol Int* 47:195–199.
33. Da'Dara AA, et al. (2008) DNA-based vaccines protect against zoonotic schistosomiasis in water buffalo. *Vaccine* 26:29–30.
34. Boulanger D, et al. (1999) Vaccine potential of a recombinant glutathione S-transferase cloned from *Schistosoma haematobium* in primates experimentally infected with an homologous challenge. *Vaccine* 17:319–326.
35. Riveau G, et al. (1998) Glutathione S-transferases of 28 kDa as major vaccine candidates against schistosomiasis. *Mem Inst Oswaldo Cruz* 93:87–94.
36. Ochsenbein AF, et al. (2000) Protective long-term antibody memory by antigen-driven and T help-dependent differentiation of long-lived memory B cells to short-lived plasma cells independent of secondary lymphoid organs. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 97:13263–13268.
37. Radbruch A, et al. (2006) Competence and competition: The challenge of becoming a long-lived plasma cell. *Nat Rev Immunol* 6:741–750.
38. Mutapi F, et al. (2011) Differential recognition patterns of *Schistosoma haematobium* adult worm antigens by the human antibodies IgA, IgE, IgG1 and IgG4. *Parasite Immunol* 33:181–192.
39. de Vlas SJ (1996) Modelling human *Schistosoma mansoni* infection: The art of counting eggs in faeces. PhD thesis (Erasmus, Rotterdam, The Netherlands).
40. Hairston NG (1965) On the mathematical analysis of schistosome populations. *Bull World Health Organ* 33:45–62.
41. Cheever AW, Kamel IA, Elwi AM, Mosimann JE, Danner R (1977) *Schistosoma mansoni* and *S. haematobium* infections in Egypt. II. Quantitative parasitological findings at necropsy. *Am J Trop Med Hyg* 26:702–716.
42. Woolhouse MEJ, Mutapi F, Ndhlovu PD, Chandiwana SK, Hagan P (2000) Exposure, infection and immune responses to *Schistosoma haematobium* in young children. *Parasitology* 120:37–44.
43. Chan MS, Mutapi F, Woolhouse MEJ, Isham VS (2000) Stochastic simulation and the detection of immunity to schistosome infections. *Parasitology* 120:161–169.
44. Mutapi F, et al. (2011) *Schistosoma haematobium* treatment in 1–5 year old children: Safety and efficacy of the antihelminthic drug praziquantel. *PLoS Negl Trop Dis* 5:e1143.
45. Mutapi F, et al. (2007) Cytokine responses to *Schistosoma haematobium* in a Zimbabwean population: Contrasting profiles for IFN- γ , IL-4, IL-5 and IL-10 with age. *BMC Infect Dis* 7:139.